

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	HB0123			Title:	Extend coal trust funded econ dev programsreduce statutory approps		
Primar	y Sponsor:	Jones, Llew			Status:	As Amer	nded in Senate Committee
	Significant l	Local Gov Impact		Needs to be includ	ed in HB 2		Technical Concerns
	Included in	the Executive Budget		Significant Long-Te	erm Impacts		Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

#### FISCAL SUMMARY

<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	<b>Difference</b>
\$3,065,000	\$3,065,000	\$6,065,000
\$0	0.2	\$0
· 	·	(\$6,065,000)
	\$3,065,000	\$0 \$0

**Description of fiscal impact:** HB 123 as amended will continue a statutory appropriation for economic development programs enacted in 2000 at a reduced level through June 30, 2012, and then revert to the current allocation until June 30, 2019. The funding for these initiatives comes from Coal Tax Interest that would go to the general fund otherwise.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **Assumptions:**

#### **Department of Commerce (DOC):**

1. HB 123 as amended extends the June 30, 2010 sunset provisions for funding the programs enumerated in (Temporary) 15-35-108(8)(b), MCA, until June 30, 2019 at a reduced level in the FY 2011 and FY 2012. Programs include the Cooperative Development Center, the Growth Through Agriculture program, Research and Commercialization, Small Business Development Centers, Small Business Innovation Research, Certified Regional Development Corporations, Montana Manufacturing Extension Center, and Export Trade Enhancement.

- 2. The Department of Commerce annual allocation under current law is \$4.75 million. HB 123, as amended would reduce this amount to \$2.375 million for FY 2011 and FY 2012 and revert to the current allocation until the proposed legislation sunsets on June 30, 2019.
- 3. The allocation of funding to each of the economic development programs listed in assumption 1 would be as follows through 6/30/2012, and revert back to the current allocation:

	ent Funding	7/1/201	10 += 6/20/2012			
ф	Current Funding		Proposed Funding 7/1/2010 to 6/30/2012		Difference	
\$	65,000	\$	65,000	\$	-	
\$	1,250,000	\$	625,000	\$	(625,000)	
\$	1,315,000	\$	690,000	\$	(625,000)	
\$	3,650,000	\$	1,275,000	\$	(2,375,000)	
\$	125,000	\$	125,000	\$	-	
\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	-	
\$	425,000	\$	425,000	\$	-	
\$	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	-	
\$	300,000	\$	300,000	\$	-	
\$	4,750,000	\$	2,375,000	\$	(2,375,000)	
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 1,315,000 \$ 3,650,000 \$ 125,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 425,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 300,000	\$ 1,315,000 \$  \$ 3,650,000 \$  \$ 125,000 \$  \$ 50,000 \$  \$ 200,000 \$  \$ 300,000 \$	\$ 1,315,000 \$ 690,000 \$ 3,650,000 \$ 1,275,000 \$ 125,000 \$ 125,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 425,000 \$ 425,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 300,000 \$ 300,000	\$ 1,315,000 \$ 690,000 \$  \$ 3,650,000 \$ 1,275,000 \$  \$ 125,000 \$ 125,000 \$  \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 \$  \$ 425,000 \$ 425,000 \$  \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$  \$ 300,000 \$ 300,000 \$	

### **Department of Agriculture**

- 4. Effective July 1, 2010 and through June 30, 2012, the GTA program will receive \$625,000 instead of the current \$1.25 million annually and the MCDC will continue to receive \$65,000 annually.
- 5. Effective July 1, 2012 and through June 30, 2019, the GTA program will receive \$1.25 million annually and the MCDC will continue to receive \$65,000 annually.
- 6. Existing personal services include 3.00 FTE business development specialists, and 1.00 FTE marketing technician. Personal services are adjusted by an inflation factor of 2.5% each year.
- 7. Operating expenses include \$65,000 for distribution to the MCDC and loans to agricultural producers. The MCDC distribution remains fixed each year. The balance in operating expenses is adjusted by an inflation factor of 2.5% each year.

17-1-508, MCA requires analysis of the statutory appropriation relative to the guidance in 17-1-508 (2), MCA, to be published in the fiscal note. In reviewing and establishing statutory appropriations, the legislature shall consider the following guidelines. Answer yes or no to each of the following guidelines regarding the statutory appropriation:

		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
a.	The fund or use requires an appropriation.	X	
b.	The money is not from a continuing, reliable, and estimable source.		X
c.	The use of the appropriation or the expenditure occurrence is not predictable and reliable.		X
d.	The authority does not exist elsewhere.	X	
e.	An alternative appropriation method is not available, practical, or effective.		X

## **Fiscal Note Request** – As Introduced

(continued)

f. Other than for emergency purposes, it does not appropriate money from the state general fund.

X

g. The money is dedicated for a specific use.

X

h. The legislature wishes the activity to be funded on a continual basis.

X

i. When feasible, an expenditure cap and sunset date are included.

X

## **Department of Commerce**

	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 Difference
Fiscal Impact:				
FTE	0.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
Personal Services	\$0	\$217,436	\$217,436	\$212,184
Operating Expenses	\$0	\$457,564	\$457,564	\$462,816
Grants	\$0	\$425,000	\$425,000	\$425,000
Transfers	\$0_	\$1,275,000	\$1,275,000	\$3,650,000
TOTAL Expenditures	\$0	\$2,375,000	\$2,375,000	\$4,750,000
<b>Funding of Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$2,375,000	\$2,375,000	\$4,750,000
TOTAL Funding of Exp	\$0	\$2,375,000	\$2,375,000	\$4,750,000
Revenues:				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0_	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

# **Department of Agriculture**

	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>			
Fiscal Impact:							
FTE	0.00	4.00	4.00	4.00			
Expenditures:							
Personal Services	\$0	\$287,239	\$294,420	\$301,780			
Operating Expenses	\$0	\$202,526	\$205,964	\$209,488			
Grants	\$0_	\$200,235	\$189,616	\$803,732			
TOTAL Expenditures	\$0	\$690,000	\$690,000	\$1,315,000			
Funding of Expenditures:							
General Fund (01)	\$0_	\$690,000	\$690,000	\$1,315,000			
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$0	\$690,000	\$690,000	\$1,315,000			
Revenues:							
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Net Impact to Fund Balance	Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):						
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$3,065,000	\$3,065,000	\$6,065,000			